HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

(Court's order whether the case is or not approved for reporting.)

Chapter VIII Rule 32 (2)(b)

Description of the case.

W.P. No. 620/2004 (M/S)

Km. Ekta Bisht

vs

Maharashi Dayanand Univeristy
Rohtak and others

Approved for reporting

_____

~~Not Approved for Reporting~~

Date of decision 10.08.2004

Initial of Judge

HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 620 of 2004 (MS)

Km. Ekta Bisht ..... Petitioner

Versus

Maharshi Dayanand University
Rohtak & another .... Respondents

Sri Rajendra Dobhal, Advocate for the petitioner

Dated:- 10.8.2004

Hon. Rajesh Tandon J.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

By the present writ petition the petitioner has prayed for a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to declare the result of 4th semester of M.S.C. (Computer Science).

Briefly stated the facts giving rise to the present writ petition are that the petitioner appeared in the 4th semester of M.Sc. (Computer Science) which was held in the month of July 2003. She has appeared in all theory papers as well as in practical examination but in the mark sheet issued by the respondent she was shown absent in all practical examinations.

The petitioner shall be at liberty to make a representation to the respondents in this regard which shall be disposed of within four weeks. The petitioner shall submit his

representation to respondent no.3, who shall forward it before the respondents no.1 and 2. The respondent no.3 is directed to inform the petitioner after the same is disposed of by the respondents no. 1 and 2.

Subject to the aforesaid observation, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajesh Tandon, J.)

10.8.2004

*Dhyani